Holroyd Development Control Plan 2013 Compliance Assessment

Holroyd Development Control Plan 2013			
No.	Required/Permitted	Provided	Comply
	- General Controls		, ,
1	Subdivision		
	Not applicable		N/A
1.3	Drainage		
	Suitable mitigation measures have been ass	essed by Council's	Yes, subject to
	Development Engineer.	•	condition
2	Roads and Access		
2.4	Vehicular crossings, splay corners, kerb	and gutter	
	Construct all works in accordance with	To condition	Yes, subject to
	Council's Vehicular Crossing Policy.		condition
	Construct a plain concrete (not patterned	To condition	Yes, subject to
	or coloured) vehicle crossing at each		condition
	vehicle entrance/exit to the property, to		
	specifications found in Council's Vehicular		
	Crossing Policy.		
	Where a vehicular crossing exists and is in	2 x new entries to basement	Yes
	poor condition or is damaged during	proposed	
	construction/ demolition or does not		
	comply		
	For safety reasons, access to a property	To condition	Yes, subject to
	from a public road must clearly avoid items		condition
	such as sewer vents, service poles,		
	existing trees, street construction, light		
	standards, telecommunications areas,		
	stormwater pits, pedestrian crossings,		
	pram ramps and the like, transformer units		
	and the like which may be located in the footway area, unless the applicant is able		
	to make arrangements for the relocation of		
	equipment not owned by Council at no		
	expense to Council.		
	Maintain pedestrian safety by minimising	2 x vehicle access points with	Yes, subject to
	potential pedestrian and vehicular conflicts	adequate sight distance	condition
	through:	and quare eight anotained	
	 Limiting the width and number of 		
	vehicle access points,		
	 ensuring clear site lines at pedestrian 		
	and vehicle crossings,		
	utilising traffic calming devices, and		
	separating and clearly distinguishing		
	between pedestrian and vehicular		
	accessways.		
	Ensure adequate separation distances	N/A	N/A
	between vehicular entries and street		
	intersections. For corner allotments,		
	vehicular crossings must be no closer than		
	6 metres from the tangent point of the kerb		
	at the intersection.		
	Where not already provided, splay corners	N/A	N/A
	are to be dedicated in road reserves at		
	intersections as follows:		
	Residential 3m x 3m		
3	Car Parking		
3.1	Minimum parking spaces		
	Residential flat buildings – car parking	Block A – 73 spaces and 1	No, subject to

	Control	Required (min)		car wash hay (inclusive 12	condition
	Control	Block A	Block B	car wash bay (inclusive 12 adaptable car spaces).	Condition
	1 bedroom	12 units – 9.6	10 units – 8	Shortfall of 1 car spaces.	
	0.8 spaces	spaces	spaces	Shortial of Fear space.	
	2 bedroom 1.0 space	41 units – 41 spaces	42 units – 42 spaces	Block B – 61 spaces and 1	Yes
	3 bedroom	9 units – 10.8	- spaces	car wash bay (inclusive 12	103
	1.2 spaces	spaces		adaptable car spaces)	
	Visitor /	62 units – 12.4	52 units –		
	dwelling 0.2 spaces	spaces	10.4 spaces		
	Total	73.8 (74)	60.4 (61)		
		spaces + 1 car	spaces + 1		
	5	wash bay	car wash bay		.,
	Bicycle parki			69 spaces	Yes
	Control Studio	Required 68.4 (69)			
	None	00.4 (03)	Spaces		
	1 bedroom				
	0.5 spaces				
	2 bedroom				
	0.5 spaces 3+ bedroom				
	0.5 spaces				
	Visitor / dwellir	ng			
	0.1 spaces				
3.3		and gradient			
				Traffic Engineer.	Yes
3.5		noeuvring and			
	Design car page	arking areas to	expedite	The layout is simple and	Yes
		ation by adoptir		logical.	
		y minimising cor			
	points and th	e possibility of	conflicting		
	vehicle move	ements.			
	Ensure that a	all vehicles using	g the car park	Movements into and out of	Yes
	can convenie	ently enter and l	eave the site	the site are able to be made	
	in a forward	direction.		in a forward direction.	
	Car park enti	ries are to be se	t back behind	The car park entry is in line	Yes
	the building I	ine to reduce th	eir visual	with the commercial floor	
	dominance, a	and to reinforce	building	spaces and is not visually	
	articulation a	long street front	tages (min.	dominant.	
	1.0m).				
	Provide as a	minimum the fo	llowing	Min 1.5m provided.	Yes
	setbacks fror	n side property	boundaries to		
	driveways: 1.	.5m			
		manoeuvring ar	eas where	Changes are required and	Yes, subject to
		ning templates of		incorporated in the conditions	condition
		ot path analysis		of consent.	
		n vehicle in acc			
		all be provided.			
		n permitted clea		2.5m headroom provided.	Yes
		rking areas is 2			
		etres for parking			
	the disabled.		, .p		
		he provision of	pipes, ducts	Satisfactory	Yes
		systems within			1
		npromise minim			
	clearances.		### · •		
3.6		the disabled			
0.0		ing for the disab	oled at the rate	24 spaces provided	Yes
		per 100 visitors		2. opacco provided	100
		400 spaces, ar			
		part thereof.	.a i poi 100		
			all he lecated	Disabled spaces are leasted	Yes
	Disabled Par	king spaces sha	an de located	Disabled spaces are located	162

	I		1
	in accordance with AS 2890.6:	in close proximity to the lift	
	a) as close as possible to the entrance(s)	lobby.	
	of subject premises,		
	b) on a maximum floor gradient of 1:40		
	(2.5%),		
	c) with ramp access to the premises		
	provided at a maximum gradient of 1:14,		
	and		
	d) be signposted using standard signage		
	in accordance with Australian Standards		
	AS 1741.11 and AS 2890.1.		
	Provide a minimum clearance height of 2.5	2.5m provided	Yes
	metres for parking for the disabled;	•	
	Provide a minimum clear headroom within		
	car parking areas of 2.5 metres for parking		
	spaces for the disabled		
4	Tree and Landscape works		
	No concerns have been raised by Council's	Tree Management and	Yes
	Landscape Officer.		
5	Biodiversity		
	Not applicable		N/A
6	Soil Management		
6.1	Cut and Fill and Retaining walls		
0.,	Development is to be designed and	Cut up to 2m proposed at the	Considered
	constructed where possible to integrate	rear of residential flat	satisfactory,
	with the natural topography of the site.	buildings is required to	subject to
	with the natural topography of the site.	maintain access level from	condition
		Driftway Drive.	Condition
6.2	Site Contamination and Land filling	Dilitway Drive.	
0.2	Council may require investigation of	Contamination report related	Yes
	existing site contamination levels prior to	to the site has been	162
	the approval of new building works on the	previously provided and	
	site.	reviewed by Council's	
	Site.	Environmental Health Unit.	
6.3	Erosion and Sediment Control	Livilorimental Health Onit.	
0.5	Wholly contain on the site all soil materials	Erosion and sediment control	Yes, subject to
	arising from the removal of vegetation,	details provided considered	condition
	clearing, levelling, filling, excavation and/or	satisfactory.	Condition
	disturbance of any site, including the	Satisfactory.	
	placement of any building material stock piles		
7	Stormwater Management		
1	Refer to comments from Development Engir	neer	
8	Flood Prone Land	1001	
0	Refer to comments from Development Engir	neer .	
9	Managing External Road Noise and Vibra		
J	Ensure an acoustic/vibration report is	An acoustic report has been	Yes, subject to
	provided as a part of the planning	provided and reviewed by	condition
	documentation for development proposals	Council's Environmental	CONGRECA
	adjacent to a Classified Road and certain	Health Officer. Satisfactory,	
	unclassified roads or within 60 metres of a	subject to condition.	
	railway line.	Subject to containon.	
10	Safety and Security		
10		The design allows for	Voc
	Design new development to reduce the	The design allows for	Yes
	attractiveness of crime by minimising,	surveillance through open	
	removing or concealing crime	design within the building to	
	opportunities. The design of development should increase the possibility of	minimise crime. Refer to	
	ii soodia increase the possibility of	comments from the NSW	i l
	detection, challenge and apprehension of	Police.	l l

	persons engaged in crime.		
	Incorporate and/or enhance opportunities	Surveillance of public domain	Yes
	for effective natural surveillance by	and private spaces is	100
	providing clear sight lines between public	provided.	
	and private places, installation of effective	provided	
	lighting, and the appropriate landscaping		
	of public areas.		
	Incorporate design elements in public	Attractive and function public	Yes
	spaces that reflect local character and	spaces are provided which	100
	local values associated with open space,	will encourage gathering.	
	and thus contribute to a sense of	and the standard games and	
	community ownership of public spaces.		
	Encouraging people to gather in public		
	spaces through appropriate design		
	techniques, helps to nurture a sense of		
	responsibility for the use and condition of a		
	place.		
11	Waste Management		
	Refer comments from Waste Management (Officer	
12	Services		
	To ensure residential, industrial and	The land is serviced by all	Yes
	business areas are adequately serviced in	required infrastructure.	
	a timely, cost- effective, coordinated and		
	efficient manner.		
	- Residential Controls		
1	General Residential Controls		
1.1	Building Materials		
4.0	Satisfactory.		
1.2	Fences	I	1
	Max 1.5m	1.2m high proposed.	Yes
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50%	Condition for 50%	Yes, subject to
1.2	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m		
1.3	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views	Condition for 50% transparency.	Yes, subject to condition
1.3	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP
1.3	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the	Yes, subject to condition
1.3	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the	Yes, subject to condition
1.3	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views	It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat	Yes, subject to condition
1.3	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the	Yes, subject to condition
1.3	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope	Yes, subject to condition
1.3	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views	It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect	Yes, subject to condition
1.3	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views	It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of	Yes, subject to condition
1.3	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views	It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact	Yes, subject to condition
1.3	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views	It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of	Yes, subject to condition
1.3	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views	It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP	Yes, subject to condition
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and	It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP	Yes, subject to condition
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and acoustic privacy for residents and	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP assessment. Building separation is compliant with ADG.	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP assessment.
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and	It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP assessment. Building separation is compliant with ADG. Privacy at ground level units	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP assessment.
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and acoustic privacy for residents and	It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP assessment. Building separation is compliant with ADG. Privacy at ground level units should be maintained with the	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP assessment.
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and acoustic privacy for residents and neighbours in dwellings and private open	It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP assessment. Building separation is compliant with ADG. Privacy at ground level units should be maintained with the proposed 1.8m high boundary	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP assessment.
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and acoustic privacy for residents and neighbours in dwellings and private open	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP assessment. Building separation is compliant with ADG. Privacy at ground level units should be maintained with the proposed 1.8m high boundary treatments to the rear	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP assessment.
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and acoustic privacy for residents and neighbours in dwellings and private open	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP assessment. Building separation is compliant with ADG. Privacy at ground level units should be maintained with the proposed 1.8m high boundary treatments to the rear courtyard areas, as the COS	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP assessment.
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and acoustic privacy for residents and neighbours in dwellings and private open	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP assessment. Building separation is compliant with ADG. Privacy at ground level units should be maintained with the proposed 1.8m high boundary treatments to the rear courtyard areas, as the COS area, internal driveway and	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP assessment.
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and acoustic privacy for residents and neighbours in dwellings and private open	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP assessment. Building separation is compliant with ADG. Privacy at ground level units should be maintained with the proposed 1.8m high boundary treatments to the rear courtyard areas, as the COS area, internal driveway and grade level parking spaces,	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP assessment.
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and acoustic privacy for residents and neighbours in dwellings and private open	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP assessment. Building separation is compliant with ADG. Privacy at ground level units should be maintained with the proposed 1.8m high boundary treatments to the rear courtyard areas, as the COS area, internal driveway and grade level parking spaces, will have the potential to	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP assessment.
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and acoustic privacy for residents and neighbours in dwellings and private open	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP assessment. Building separation is compliant with ADG. Privacy at ground level units should be maintained with the proposed 1.8m high boundary treatments to the rear courtyard areas, as the COS area, internal driveway and grade level parking spaces, will have the potential to affect the privacy of those	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP assessment.
1.4	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and acoustic privacy for residents and neighbours in dwellings and private open spaces.	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP assessment. Building separation is compliant with ADG. Privacy at ground level units should be maintained with the proposed 1.8m high boundary treatments to the rear courtyard areas, as the COS area, internal driveway and grade level parking spaces, will have the potential to	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP assessment.
	Front fences solid ≤1m and be ≥50% transparent to 1.5m Views Minimise obstruction of views Visual Privacy To provide a high level of visual and acoustic privacy for residents and neighbours in dwellings and private open	Condition for 50% transparency. It is considered that views are unlikely to be affected by the development, as the proposed residential flat buildings are located at the bottom of a significant slope of 8m. However, this aspect should be assessed as part of a heritage impact assessment. Refer to LEP assessment. Building separation is compliant with ADG. Privacy at ground level units should be maintained with the proposed 1.8m high boundary treatments to the rear courtyard areas, as the COS area, internal driveway and grade level parking spaces, will have the potential to affect the privacy of those	Yes, subject to condition Refer to LEP assessment.

	landscaped area. 8743sqm / 25 = 2,185.75sqm		
	Max. 50% of provided landscaped area shall be forward of the front building line.	Provided	Yes
	Majority of landscaped area to be to the rear of the building.	Provided	Yes
1.8	Sunlight Access		
1.0	Solar access for the proposed dwellings within residential flat buildings.	Refer to ADG assessment	Refer to ADG assessment
	main living area of existing adjacent dwellings to receive 3 hours direct sunlight between 9am and 4pm, 22 June.	Given orientation and siting, adjoining dwellings receive minimum.	Yes
	Min. 50% of required POS of existing adjacent dwellings to receive 3 hours direct sunlight between 9am and 4pm, 22 June	Given orientation and siting, adjoining dwellings receive minimum.	Yes
1.9	Cut & Fill		
	Cut: max. 1m; max. 0.45m within 0.9m of side/rear boundary. Cut controls are not applicable where basement parking is proposed	Cut up to 2m proposed at the rear of residential flat buildings is required to maintain access level from Driftway Drive. The cut is unlikely to cause the development to adversely impact any natural drainage patterns. The cut does not cause a significant soil loss. No impact on downstream properties is anticipated. Given this, the variation to the development control is considered justified and acceptable.	Yes, subject to condition
	Fill: max. 0.3m within 0.9m of side/rear boundary; ≥0.6m to be contained within the building; if > 0.15m shall occupy max. 50% of the landscaped area.	No fill proposed	N/A
	Not within easements	N/A	N/A
1.11	Vehicular Access and Driveways		
	VC min. 3m wide and max. 5m wide at boundary.	6.5m wide at boundary	Considered satisfactory
	Council favours the use of a central underbuilding access driveway.	Driveway access to the side of buildings.	Considered satisfactory
1.12	Universal Housing and Accessibility		
	15% of units shall be adaptable units. 114 x 0.2 = 22.8 or 23 required (20% required as per Part P)	24 provided	Yes
1.13	Subdivision		
	Strata subdivision not proposed at this stage	e.	
6	Residential Flat Buildings		
6.1	Lot Size and Frontage		
0.1	Min. lot frontage is: • 24m (if a highlighted lot in Appendix I); or • 28m for all other properties; or • 45m for all development ≥ 6 storeys.	Frontage is >28m	Yes
	Not permitted on battleaxe lots.	N/A	N/A
	The proposal shall not limit future development potential of adjoining lots i.e.	The proposal does not result in landlocking	N/A

	landlocking		
6.2	Site Coverage		
	Max. 30% of the site area	2,450sqm / 8,743sqm = 28% - Complies	Yes
6.3	Setbacks		
	Principal St: 6m and correspond with existing building setback.	Varying setback ranging from 4.8m – 5.6m	No, however, consistent with Part P, which allows 3m setbacks.
	Secondary St: 4m.	N/A	N/A
	Rear: • ≤ 4 storeys – 20% of the site length or 6m, whichever is greater. • ≥ 5 storeys – 30% of the site length.	Being triangular in shape, the site is not conducive for this type of calculation. The development however, exceeds ADG separation requirements, and as well, complies with Part P requirements for rear setbacks.	Considered satisfactory
	Basement: 3m to side and rear boundaries.	The basement is more than 3 storeys at every point, except for a small portion along the western boundary. It is noted however, that deep soil, landscaped area and COS significantly exceed minimum requirements.	Considered satisfactory
	All floors >4 storeys to be setback 3m.	N/A	N/A
6.4	Building Height		
	Maximum building height in storeys shall be provided in accordance with the table below: Permitted Height (storeys) Height Storeys 9m 1 11m 2 12.5m 3 15m 4 18m 5 21m 6 24 m 7 The minimum floor to ceiling heights shall	DCP requirement – 15 metres / 4 storeys. Provided – 13.45m – 4 storeys. All min. 2.7m.	Yes
	 be: 2.7 metres for habitable rooms. 2.4 metres for non habitable rooms. 2.4 metres for the second storey section of two storey units if 50% or more of the apartment has a 2.7 metre minimum ceiling height. 		
	Attics are permissible in RFBs.	N/A	N/A
6.7	Building Appearance - Facade		
	Appropriate scale, rhythm and proportion, responding to the building use and contextual character. Walls to street to be articulated by	Facades are considered appropriate.	Yes
	windows, verandahs, balconies or blade walls. Max. projection of 600mm forward	Projections vary, however, façade articulation considered	Yes

	of building line.	satisfactory.	
	Buildings on corner sites to address both	The development satisfactory	Yes
	frontages.	addresses the bend in the	163
	inomages.	road.	
	Building Appearance – Roof Design	10001	
	Roofs shall relate to the built form, context	Considered satisfactory	Yes
	and character of the street.	,	
	Pitched roofs will not be permitted:-	Considered satisfactory	Yes
	Where it doesn't relate to the urban	-	
	context.		
	Where it increase the visual bulk of		
	the building.		
	Where land has been rezoned high		
	density.		
	Max. 3m height for roofs from ceiling line	Complies	Yes
	to ridge.		
6.8	Building entry and pedestrian access	A building patrice considered	
	Shall be clearly identifiable, sheltered, well lit and visible from the street.	4 building entries considered	Yes
	Main entry to be separate from carparks or	satisfactory. Complies.	Yes
	car entries.	Compiles.	163
6.9	Parking		
0.0	Shall be maintained to a basement.	Complies, with the exception	Satisfactory
	Chair be maintained to a bacoment.	of grade parking internally to	Cationactory
		the site.	
	Vehicle entries shall be setback from the	Entry is set back.	Yes
	main façade and security doors shall be	Roller shutter door to be	
	provided to car park entries to improve the	provided	
	appearance of vehicle entries	•	
	One car wash bay shall be provided for all	2 x car wash bays provided	
	developments having 10 or more dwellings		
	(not a visitor space).		
	Vehicular Access		T
	Shall be located off secondary streets, when		N/A
	Direct access shall be provided between	Complies	Yes
	the car park to the lobby.	To be provided	Vac aubicat to
	Access to resident parking areas shall be	To be provided	Yes, subject to condition
6.11	restricted via a security gate or door. Internal Circulation		Condition
0.11	All common facilities must be accessible.		Yes
	All staircases are to be internal.	Complies	Yes
	Sensitive noise rooms shall be located	Discontinued construction of	Yes
	away from less sensitive noise rooms,	wall between rooms and lifts	
	corridors and stairwells.	provided.	
6.12	Facilities and amenities		
	Each unit shall be provided with a laundry	Complies	Yes
	within the unit.		
	Open air clothes drying facilities shall be	Balcony clotheslines to be	Yes, subject to
	provided in a sunny, ventilated area,	screened.	condition
	screened from the public domain.		
	Clothes drying areas shall be screened by	To condition	Yes, subject to
	1.5m high walls.		condition
	A master antenna shall be provided.	To condition	Yes, subject to
		- 100	condition
	Mailboxes shall not be at 90° to the street	To condition	Yes, subject to
	and shall be integrated with the overall		condition
		1	ì
6.15	design. Waste Management – Bin Storage		

Bin storage must: Be located behind the building line and screened from the street and any public place. Be accessible and relatively close to each dwelling. Not impact upon the amenity of adjoining premises or dwellings within the development, i.e. odour.	There are 2 waste storage structures proposed at either end of the development, within the front setback areas. Council's Waste Management Officer has reviewed the proposal and raised no objection, subject to condition.	Yes, subject to condition
Allow for unobstructed access that does not exceed a grade of 1:8 for bins to be wheeled to the collection point.	N/A	N/A

No.	Required/Permitted	Provided	Comply
1.1	Architectural Character		
	- Provide a variety of building types and housing types throughout Pemulwuy in accordance with Figures 23 [Pemulwuy North] and 24 [Pemulwuy South].	The subject site is identified as being for multi-unit housing (which includes apartments) and an area designated for increased density. The	Yes
	 Accommodate a range of innovative dwelling types including single dwellings, home offices and home/work spaces. 	proposed RFB development supports this.	Yes
	Cinico di la nomo nom spaces.	All dwellings are either 1, 2 or 3 b/r units. The Pemulwuy Estate (which this control is	
		relating to) provides a range of dwelling types and styles.	Yes
	- Design, model and articulate dwellings with a consistent relationship to the street and to each other.	The site already has approval for 16 3 b/r townhouses located on Stage 1.	Yes
	 Design with a simplicity of building elements that create a contemporary façade. Avoid historical reproduction styles. 	The proposed RFBs are articulated and have a	Yes
	 Modulate side boundary setbacks and incorporate courtyards, atria, toplights and the like to maximise solar access to dwellings. 	consistent relationship with each other. Satisfactory contemporary building elements proposed.	Yes
	 Prefer elevated finished floor levels and entries, balconies and street elevations to improve outlook and surveillance of streets and open spaces. 	Side boundary setbacks satisfactorily modulated.	Yes
	 Ensure all dwelling entries are clearly visible from the street by day and night. Ensure a maximum 500mm cut and 500mm 	Elevated floor levels are provided where possible. Satisfactory surveillance is provided to the street, communal areas and public	Yes
	fill for allotments unless otherwise stated elsewhere.	open space areas. Dwelling entries are visible	
		from the street or internal	Yes

pathways.

		Cut avecada 500mm	Vac
		Cut exceeds 500mm.	Yes
		However, this is a significantly sloping site. Cut up to 2m is	
		required to maintain access	
		level from Driftway Drive to the	
		rear of the residential flat	
		buildings.	
4.2	Elevated Sites (Steep Land)	Whilst the site is elevated and	N/A
7.2	Lievatea Oites (Oteop Lana)	has a significant slope, the	14/73
		controls in 4.2 relate to the	
		erection of detached dwellings.	
4.4	Building articulation and street address	or control or detailed an emilye	
	- Develop the architectural character of	Satisfactory architectural	Yes
	buildings with appropriate solar protection	character provided.	
	elements, expressed door and window		
	openings, and the like.		
			Yes
	 Design buildings which incorporate 	Articulation provided.	
	articulation to the built form and do not rely		
	on "add on" structures to break up the		
	façade.		
			Yes
	- Accommodate a range of roof forms in order	Satisfactory roof forms	
	to provide variety and reduce the bulk and	provided.	
4.5	scale of the streetscape.		
4.5	Setbacks		
	- Front of building – 3m	Varying setback ranging from	Yes
	- 1 Tork or ballaring — 5111	4.8m – 5.6m	163
	- Rear setback –	The site is considered a north-	
	A. North-South Lots:	south lot, as the front generally	
	* Lot depth max. 35m = 6m.	faces south and rear generally	
	* Lot depth >35m = 8m.	faces north.	
	B. East-West Lots:	14000 1101 1111	
	* Little Streets = 3m from garage to dwelling.	Lot depth is >35m, so 8m rear	
	- * Other streets = 4.5m	setback required.	Yes
		Min. 10.14m provided	
	- Side setback –	•	
	Type C low density townhouse/rowhouse =		
	0m to both.		
	Type D Apartments + higher density		
	townhouses = 3m		
4.0	- Secondary street frontage – 4m	Min. 3m provided	Yes
4.6	Solar Access	Compliance with ADG	Yes
4.7	External Private open space	achieved	
/	External i rivate open space		
	Provide useable private open space, directly	POS is provided directly from	Yes
	accessible from living and/or dining areas to	living or dining areas.	
	each dwelling.]	
	Ğ		
	Type A, B and C dwellings are required to		
	provide an area equivalent to 20% for		
	Pemulwuy South and 30% for Pemulwuy North		
	of the total site area as a pervious (soft)		
	surface.		
	Type D dwellings (and Type M in the case of	Complies with ADG	Yes
1	Pemulwuy South) are required to provide an		Ì

	area equivalent to 20% of the total site area as external private open space, at ground level or in the form of a balcony;		
	All private open space (excluding balconies) is to have a minimum dimension of 3 metres which is to be accessible from living or dining areas, and be suitable for outdoor living;	Complies with ADG	Yes
	Balconies are to have a minimum dimension of 2.4 metres where they are accessible from living or dining areas. In such cases, they can be used in the private open space calculation. This dimension may be reduced to 1.8 metres where functionality can be demonstrated;	Complies with ADG	Yes
	Balconies should be located to provide active street frontages.	Balconies activate the streets and internal pathways and communal open space.	Yes
	A minimum of 20% for Pemulwuy South and 30% for Pemulwuy North of the total site area shall remain as a pervious (soft) surface, unless otherwise noted on Figures 39 and 40.	4,050sqm or 46% is provided as landscaped area (min. 2m width)	Yes
	Where impervious areas exceed 80% for Pemulwuy South and 70% for Pemulwuy North of the total site area, Council will require an onsite detention system.	Internal drainage system proposed.	N/A
4.9	Privacy		
	Dwellings are to maximise visual privacy through consideration of the layout of internal rooms and external living spaces, design of openings, screens, walls and choice of materials	Complies with ADG	Yes
4.12	Materials and Colours		
	Provide a mix of materials and colours to create visual interest and variety in the streetscape.	Satisfactory colours, finishes and materials proposed.	Yes
4.13	Water and Energy Efficiency		
	Ensure all new residential development complies with the requirements of the Building Sustainability Index (BASIX) for energy efficiency. Obtain BASIX Certification prior to the final design submission.	Refer to BASIX Certificate submitted. Water and energy reduction targets met.	Yes
4.14	Garages, Car Parking and Driveways		
	On street parking should be designed to be consistent with the design principles and dimensional requirements of Australian Standards AS2890 and AS1742.	N/A	N/A
	Off street parking shall be consistent with the design principles and dimensional requirements of Australian Standards AS 2890.1.	Considered satisfactory by Council's Traffic Section.	Yes

	Parking may be provided in basements under building footprints. Naturally ventilated semi-basement car parks extending to 1.2 metres above adjacent ground level are preferred in any under-building parking.	Basement and at-grade parking proposed. Ventilation details to be provided at CC stage. Appropriate conditions to be included.	Yes To be condition ed
	Driveway crossings of between 5.0 and 6.0 metres in width for double garages are permitted.	N/A	N/A
4.15	Fencing		
	The front fence piers and base are to be constructed of rendered, bagged or face brickwork to match the style of the home, with a light weight see-through infill.	Front fencing is compliant.	Yes
	Front fencing must return along the boundary to the front building facade.		
	Maximum height of 1.2 metres from natural ground on the street side of the fence, except where slopes exceed 1:8.	Complies	Yes
	Side and rear fencing is to be 1.8m high lapped and capped timber fencing, or must be reduced to 1.5m high when built on top of a retaining wall. Colorbond fencing or similar is not permitted. Where the retaining wall exceeds 1.2m, the combined wall plus fence should not exceed 2.4m. On sloping land, the height of fencing must step to follow the slope of your allotment as shown in Figure 50 The low wall plinth must be no greater than 0.6m at the highest step.	Side and rear fencing to height of 1.8m above retaining wall. Subject to condition.	Yes, subject to condition
4.16	Adaptable and Affordable Housing		
	Ensure that 20% of multi-unit housing, shop- top housing and mansion house apartments are compliant with Class C – Adaptable Housing Features as set out in Australian Standard AS4299.	24 dwellings / 21% provided	Yes
	Ensure that 100% of adaptable housing is compliant with Adaptable Housing Class A or B.		Pre CC condition to be imposed.

4.17	Safety, Security And Lighting		
	Identify lots edging open spaces as suitable for increased densities, thereby maximising the number of dwellings which overlook open spaces. Incorporate passive open space surveillance into lot layout and design of residences, including balconies, porches, etc.	Increased density provided on the site. Surveillance over the communal and public space provided.	Yes
	Ensure all dwelling entries are clearly visible from the street by day and night.	Dwelling entries are visible from the street and internal pathways.	Yes
	Design first floor uses to overlook the street and car parking areas.	Dwellings overlook public and semi-public spaces.	Yes
	Ensure private landscaping does not provide opportunities for concealment e.g. along pathways or adjacent to service areas.	Standard condition to be imposed regarding lighting of pathways.	Yes
4.18	Bushfire Protection		
	Development must comply with Planning for Bushfire Protection (NSW Rural Fire Service: 2006) or subsequent amendments.	Bushfire report submitted and referred to the NSW Rural Fire Service.	Yes
4.20	Servicing		
	Provide each dwelling with a secure external clothes drying area with access to sunlight and breezes, screened from the public domain.	It is envisaged that external drying areas would be provided on balconies.	Yes, subject to condition
	Locate adequate rubbish and recycling areas where they are convenient and accessible.	Considered satisfactory by Council's Waste Section.	
	In addition to garages, the adequate storage of bulky goods in multi-unit housing is required at a rate of: a) 7.5 cubic metres for a studio/one bedroom	To comply with ADG.	
	unit; b) 10 cubic metres for a two bedroom unit; and c) 12.5 cubic metres for units with three or more bedrooms.		
	Antennae, satellite dishes, water tanks, service metres and solar heating should be sited to minimise their impact on the public domain.	To be conditioned.	
6.1	Height Limits – Pemulwuy South		
	Subject site is identified as 3 storeys only	4 storey proposed, however, height is considered satisfactory given the location of the buildings, which do not compromise visual privacy or overshadowing.	Yes
		The proposed height does not impact the adjoining heritage item. Refer to LEP assessment.	

			T
	Maximum external wall height is to be 10 metres	Additionally, given the relatively long length of the site, and thus the long length of the 2 buildings, it is considered that 3 storeys would look quite squat (i.e. the buildings would not achieve an appropriate balance between the vertical and horizontal components).	N/A
	Maximum building height is to be 12.5m.	This control assumes pitched roofs. The proposed RFB has flat roofs, as is the case for most contemporary RFB developments, and is considered appropriate.	No, but considere d satisfactor y
		13.45m proposed, however, the exceedance to the LEP height of 12.5m is purely due to the site's topography, and there is a low point where the 950mm breach is concerned. The site slopes significantly, and in order to maintain the 12.5m height, additional cut would be needed, however, this is impossible given the road, kerb and gutter, and footpath are all fixed levels. There is quite a bit of cut proposed at the rear of each building, as discussed later in this report. There are no impacts associated with the height exceedance, given that the buildings are essentially located at the bottom of the hill at its southern-most point, meaning no visual privacy impacts and no overshadowing.	
8.0	Heritage	oversnadowing.	
	Whilst the site is not heritage listed, the state- listed Prospect Hill adjoins the site to the west.	Whilst the site is not heritage listed, there are 3 items in close proximity: - Grey Box Reserve and Aboriginal scarred trees - Boothtown Aqueduct - Prospect Hill Heritage assessment has been	Refer to LEP assessme nt
		carried out.	
10.0	Stormwater and Flooding Management	Considered satisfactory by Council's Development Engineering Section.	Yes

11.1	Site Contamination and Remediation	Council's EHU states that the Pemulwuy estate is subject to a series of remediation and Site Audit Statement provisions. In this regard, in accordance with Clause 7 of the SEPP, Council is satisfied that the site is suitable for the proposed development.	Yes
11.3	Waste Management	There are 2 waste storage structures proposed at either end of the development, within the front setback areas. Council's Waste Management Officer has reviewed the proposal and raised no objection, subject to condition.	Yes, subject to condition
11.4	Soil Erosion & Sediment Control	Sediment & erosion control details provided and considered to be acceptable.	Yes